

did you feel it?

‘did you feel it?’

Open! COOP Academy

Jorinde Seijdel

Editorial – September 15, 2015



Image: [Foundland](#), quote taken from 'Softward Takes Command', Lev Manovich, 2013

As a partner of the [Dutch Art Institute](#) (DAI), *Open!* undertook a research and design project with an international group of first- and second-year Master of Fine Arts students in the academic year 2014–2015 on the functioning of 'affect' in the digital network-and-image culture. *Open!* considers this subject important because – within the scope of a better comprehension of the workings of mediatization and digitization processes for the public sphere, one of its editorial leitmotifs – an understanding of affect can help us gain greater insight into how the digital environments in which we increasingly find ourselves affect us and influence our actions in the personal, social and political senses. The influential essay '[The Autonomy of Affect](#)' (1995) by Canadian philosopher Brian Massumi was the starting point for our investigations. Referring to Baruch Spinoza and Gilles Deleuze / Félix Guattari, Massumi construes affect as "a pre-personal intensity corresponding to the passage from one experiential state of the body to another and implying an augmentation or diminution in that body's capacity to act." Affect therefore has to do with a sphere of experience which falls outside the dominant paradigms of representation and with unconscious processes which underlie our behaviour and influence our attitudes towards the world around us.

In a series of seminars with guest tutors that included Brian Massumi ¹, we asked: How is affect being produced and transmitted in today's digital environments? What sort of role does it play in the networked society in terms of the formation of subjectivity? What about the aesthetics and politics of affect? Can affect be defined as a critical departure point for

curatorial and artistic practices? If anything subsequently became clear to us, it was that affect is a complex and hard-to-grasp notion that does not easily lend itself to being used as a theoretical, artistic or activist tool. In itself, the concept is neither good nor bad in the ethical or aesthetical sense, and different disciplines – the neurosciences, social sciences, art-and-media theory – approach and apply it differently, although ‘The Autonomy of Affect’ is a recurring reference point.

In his generous seminar, which included a closed masterclass as well as a public lecture, Massumi emphasized again that affect always is a movement from one experiential state of the body to another, that it is relational by nature and that it always concerns ‘to affect and being affected’. Precisely because it is about movement and therefore about change and becoming, affect has a political dimension: affect can govern a transition. “Politics, approached affectively, is an art of emitting the interruptive signs, triggering the cues, that attune bodies while activating their capacities differentially,” according to Massumi.² Politics can instigate certain affective fields, and for instance create an atmosphere of fear, such as George Bush did after 9 / 11. Against this, Massumi sets the possibility of ‘affective alter-politics’, which he considers dissensual “in the sense that it holds contrasting alternatives together without immediately demanding that one alternative eventuate and the others evaporate. It makes thought-felt different capacities for existence, different life potentials, different forms of life, without immediately imposing either a choice – or a compromise – between them.”³

Initially, the main emphasis of our investigations was on examining how public images (the course at DAI was called ‘Affective Images: How Public Images Produce Affect in a Digital Age’) play a role in affective conversion processes. We understood ‘public images’ to be mediated images that are engaged in public political discourses. These could include amateur images as well as news images and artistic images – ranging from photos of Abu Ghraib to the ISIS videos or images of public art works. We focused on how these images conceal ideological layers and produce affect.

Gradually, however, we also became interested in technological or digital interfaces and their affect. Realizing that we are continually in and around the media in our daily lives and that we have developed a symbiotic relationship with technology, we focused on the interface, that ubiquitous and largely hidden layer between human and machine that permanently shapes our view of the material, the social, the political and the technological. “The moment of impact is like an interface to affect and being affected,” according to Massumi at the DAI seminar. What interested us philosophically about the interface was the Massumian ‘threshold’: “When you affect something, you are opening yourself up to being affected in turn, and in a slightly different way than you might have been the moment before. You have made a transition, however slight. You have stepped over a threshold. Affect is this passing of a threshold, seen from the point of view of the change in capacity.” In terms of media theory, we took inspiration from Alexander Galloway’s *The Interface Effect*, in which he describes the interface as “an autonomous zone of aesthetic activity, guided by its own logic and its own ends”.⁴

The insights and questions which arose after taking the course led to the organization of ‘did you feel it?’ A Symposium on Digital Interfaces and Their Affect which will take place in the Designhuis in Eindhoven on 16 September 2015. ‘*did you feel it?*’ deals with the concern of how affect manifests through technology, by focussing attention on the idea of the interface as a relational space that creates and mediates the affective forces that influence our social, political and artistic encounters. How do interfaces shape, transform and transmit affect? In what ways does the experiencing of affect, mediated through an interface, work upon our daily lives? And how can we as artists, theorists, activists, designers and ‘users’ engage in the zone of aesthetic activity that the interface opens? This symposium is entirely curated by the DAI students.⁵ The keynote speakers / performers are the theorists Mercedes Bunz, Mark Fisher and Nishant Shah and the artists Veridiana Zurita, Benedict Drew and Erica Scourti.

As part of the course, the students also worked on individual contributions about image, interface and affect that in the coming period will be published on *Open!* in batches. These (visual) essays reflect the students’ different artistic and critical practices and therefore are very diverse in terms of form, content and approach. Together however, and in relation to each other, they provide provocative insights and questions concerning the subject matter.

Open! is also publishing a review on Brian Massumi’s *Politics of Affect* [www.onlineopen.org/politics-of-affect] by Eric Kluitenberg. Besides, in November 2015 *Open!* will publish a new interview by Willem van Weelden with Massumi and the video recording of the lecture the latter gave at DAI in Arnhem on 18 June, *Virtual Ecology and the Question of Value*.

Contributions

- Ben Burtenshaw, *Our Techno Jissance* [www.onlineopen.org/our-techno-jouissance]
- Eduardo Cachucho, *A sketchbook is blown onto the floor* [www.onlineopen.org/a-sketchbook-is-blown-onto-the-floor]
- Charlie Dance, *This is a Breaking News Story!* [www.onlineopen.org/this-is-a-breaking-news-story]
- Hu Wei, *#Me, #I, #Selfie* [www.onlineopen.org/me-i-selfie]
- Monique Hendriksen, *Delusional Cause* [www.onlineopen.org/delusional-cause]
- Miguel Ángel Rego Robles, *The Square: From Spectator to Container of Social Affect* [www.onlineopen.org/the-square-from-spectator-to-container-of-social-affect]
- Sebastian De Line, *Ripple Affect* [www.onlineopen.org/ripple-affect]
- Kaste Šeškeviute, *Take a Leap into a Memory Hole* [www.onlineopen.org/take-a-leap-into-a-memory-hole]
- Amir Avraham, *The Closer We Get the Less There Is to See* [www.onlineopen.org/the-closer-we-get-the-less-there-is-to-see]
- Jammie Nicholas, *Oh My Dear God – Are You One of Those Single Tear People?* [www.onlineopen.org/oh-my-dear-god-are-you-one-of-those-single-tear-people]
- Aarti Sunder, *Drawing on a 1:1 Scale* [www.onlineopen.org/drawing-on-a-1-1-scale]

Jorinde Seijdel is an independent writer, editor and lecturer on subjects concerning art and media in our changing society and the public sphere. She is editor-in-chief of *Open! Platform for Art, Culture & the Public Domain* (formerly known as *Open. Cahier on Art & the Public Domain*). In 2010 she published *De waarde van de amateur* [The Value of the Amateur] (Fonds BKVB, Amsterdam), about the rise of the amateur in digital culture and the notion of amateurism in contemporary art and culture. Currently, she is theory tutor at the Gerrit Rietveld Academie and Head of the Studium Generale Rietveld Academie in Amsterdam. With Open!, she is a partner of the Dutch Art InstituteMA Art Praxis in Arnhem.

With thanks to: DAI, co-tutor Florian Göttke, designer Niels Schrader, the guest teachers and, last but not least, the students of the Open! Co-Op Academy programme for 2014-2015.

Footnotes

1. Also Florian Göttke (co-tutor), Foundland (Lauren Alexander & Ghalia Elsrakbi), Metahaven (Daniel van der Velden), Eric Kluitenberg, Willem van Weelden, Mind Design (Niels Schrader) and Sven Lütticken.
2. Brian Massumi, *The Power at the End of the Economy*, Durham (North Carolina), Duke University Press, 2015, p. 108.
3. Brian Massumi, *The Power at the End of the Economy*, p. 111.
4. Alexander R. Galloway, *The Interface Effect*, Polity Press, 2012.
5. Alumni DAI 2015: Ben Burtenshaw, Eduardo Cachucho, Charlie Dance, Monique Hendriksen, Marie-Andrée Pellerin, Kaste Šeškeviciute, Aarti Sunder and Yung Han Juan. Students DAI 2014-2016: Sebastian De Line, Chris den Dulk, Jammie Nicholas, Miguel Angel Rego Robles, Hu Wei and Amir Avraham (alumnus Werkplaats Typografie).

Crosslinks

Politics of Affect: www.onlineopen.org/politics-of-affect
Our Techno Jouissance: www.onlineopen.org/our-techno-jouissance
A sketchbook is blown onto the floor: www.onlineopen.org/a-sketchbook-is-blown-onto-the-floor
This Is a Breaking News Story!: www.onlineopen.org/this-is-a-breaking-news-story
#Me, #I, #Selfie: www.onlineopen.org/me-i-selfie
Delusional Cause: www.onlineopen.org/delusional-cause
The Square: From Spectator to Container of Social Affect: www.onlineopen.org/the-square-from-spectator-to-container-of-social-affect
Ripple Affect: www.onlineopen.org/ripple-affect
Take a Leap into a Memory Hole: www.onlineopen.org/take-a-leap-into-a-memory-hole
The Closer We Get the Less There Is to See: www.onlineopen.org/the-closer-we-get-the-less-there-is-to-see
Drawing on a 1:1 Scale: www.onlineopen.org/drawing-on-a-1-1-scale
Oh My Dear God – Are You One of Those Single Tear People?: www.onlineopen.org/oh-my-dear-god-are-you-one-of-those-single-tear-people

Tags

Aesthetics, Affect, Image, Media Society, Public Domain

This text was downloaded on February 17, 2026 from
Open! Platform for Art, Culture & the Public Domain
www.onlineopen.org/did-you-feel-it