

Progressive Forms of Public Art

Eric Kluitenberg

Review – April 13, 2005

www.republicart.net



A feeling of ambivalence takes hold of me as I read the introductions on the website www.republicart.net. *Republicart* is an Internet platform with a large collection of essays and activities centring on the significance of the public domain and the role of art within this domain. The 'heavy encounter' with constant quotations from celebrated leftist thinkers, which seem almost an obligatory opening to the introductions to the theme sections, is hard for me to digest.

The project (which can also be read as *Re: Public Art*) makes its premise quite clear: 'Our investigations focus on the concrete experiences of non-representationist practices, the constituent activities particularly in the movements against economic globalization.' Fortunately the authors immediately go on to say that this is not about glorifying revolutionary movements against economic globalization, but rather about new forms in which critical cultural activities can organize themselves 'in between' the great movements of our time.

Another source of unease is the continual references to the work of Michael Hardt and Antonio Negri, in particular their book *Empire*. A concept central to the neo-Marxist analysis of *Empire* (Marx adapted to the age of 'neo-liberal economic globalization' – *Republicart* jargon) is that of the 'multitude'. This is a transformation of the monolithic concept of the masses according to Marx, which is no longer applicable in the wake of countless authoritarian catastrophes. In *Empire*, Marx's masses are transformed into a kind of cohesive amalgam of micro-groups and identities all working toward the same ultimate goal, namely the collapse of neo-liberal global capitalism.

If one sets out to investigate how critical culture can organize independently or in relative autonomy today, one might wonder whether a unifying concept like the 'multitudes' and Hardt's and Negri's *Empire* theory, with its strong tendencies toward historicization, are genuinely useful. The site's manifesto quotes Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe: 'We are experiencing a politicization that is much more radical than any we have known before...' How so? Was there no history before 1968? I would have thought that the politicization of Russia in 1917 or of Germany in 1933, to name but two examples at random, were much more far-reaching.

So why read on? Because this website contains a great number of brilliant essays (with and without Hardt/Negri quotations). Because it raises many fascinating questions about critical cultural activities, public space and the broader public domain. No one can deny that art and politics have entered a new arena of contention in recent years. The time when the political was negated in art is long past; political issues have in fact become a theme of contemporary art production in a very direct way. Two successive Documenta exhibitions, more or less successful, have provided clear evidence of this.

I fully subscribe to *Republicart*'s thesis that there has been an increasing politicization of public space recently. The invasion of public space by cameras and security systems, the

increase in ethnic conflicts in multicultural cities, the legal requirement to carry personal identification documents – which went into effect in the Netherlands on 1 January 2005 – preventive searches, attacks on religiously identified buildings, vigilante groups, the resurgence of the trade union movements and social conflicts manifested in the streets, terrorist threats and security measures, the proliferation of commercial propaganda in urban space, along motorways, on building scaffolds, and as a tragic nadir the ritual assassination of filmmaker Theo van Gogh, have turned the street, the town square, the temple, the meadow and the parliament into a central stage for political drama as never before.

However, what would be more useful than expressions of support for unifying theories with a limited shelf life would be to identify the many dilemmas currently facing producers of critical art in a politically polarized and charged environment. In that context, the essay on a post-emancipatory concept of emancipation, by the philosopher and founder of the Croatian political- cultural journal *Arzkin*, Boris Buden, in the 'Space of Empire' theme section, is fantastic. Buden analyses how complex political relationships have become in a multicultural and multivalent world. Before you know it, your protest against an unjust war turns into a demonstration alongside religious fundamentalists, or resistance against 'neo-liberals' turns into a *potlatch* of hardcore conservative nationalists! What does this do to your social engagement? It makes it half-hearted; ambivalence creeps up on us. Which 'values' do we actually share?

It is these more specific explorations that make the website highly readable. The fact that documents can be viewed as PDF files and if necessary printed out is another useful aspect. *Republicart* is more than just a website or Internet magazine; it is a broader-based research project into the position of public art and culture, carried out in cooperation with a large number of international culture organizations. In addition to the publications, art projects are organized, as well as a series of thematic conferences – most of which have already taken place, incidentally.

A project of such breadth and with such an explicitly politically charged objective should be highly appreciated. *Republicart* clearly takes a stand against the 'anything goes' mentality of the 'happy 1990s', the era without movements. Yet I doubt whether the reinvention of Marx's ideology is the right answer to the social and cultural complexity that defines the current dynamics of the public domain – that enigmatic and magnetic space to which we are inevitably drawn.

Eric Kluitenberg is an independent theorist, writer and educator, working at the intersection of culture, politics, media and technology. He was head of the media and technology program of De Balie, Centre for Culture and Politics in Amsterdam (1999–2011), and taught theory of interactive media and technological culture for a variety of academic institutions, including the University of Amsterdam, the Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences and Academy Minerva Postgraduate Studies in Groningen. He was also a scientific staff member of the Academy of Media Arts Cologne. Currently he teaches media and cultural theory at the Art Science Interfaculty in The Hague. In 2013 he was a research fellow at the Institute of Network Cultures, Amsterdam University of Applied Sciences. Publications include: *Techno Ecologies* (2012); *The Legacies of Tactical Media* (2011); theme issues '(Im)Mobility' (2011) and 'Hybrid Space' (2006) for *Open! Platform for Art, Culture & the Public Domain*; *Delusive Spaces – Essays* (2008); and *The Book of Imaginary Media* (2006). He is working on the preparation of an international anthology on Tactical Media co-edited with David Garcia, to be published by MIT Press in 2017. Projects include *FREE!? – A one day journey into the cultures of sharing* (2013), *Economies of the Commons* conference series (2008–2012), *ElectroSmog – International Festival for Sustainable Immobility* (2010) and *Next 5 Minutes 3 & 4 – Festivals of Tactical Media* (1999 / 2003).

Tags

Art Discourse, Capitalism, Critical Theory, Democracy, Public Domain, Public Space

This text was downloaded on August 25, 2025 from
Open! Platform for Art, Culture & the Public Domain
www.onlineopen.org/progressive-forms-of-public-art